New Evidence vs Legal Error in Immigration Appeals: What Each Means for Your Case

New Evidence vs Legal Error in Immigration Appeals: What Each Means for Your Case

When an immigration application is refused, the next step often involves an appeal to the First-tier Tribunal (Immigration and Asylum Chamber). Many people assume an appeal is simply a chance to resubmit their application with more documents. In reality, the grounds on which you can appeal are limited, and the options available depend heavily on whether you have uncovered new evidence or believe a legal error was made. Understanding the distinction between these two approaches can shape how you prepare your statement of grounds and what you present at a tribunal hearing.

Immigration tribunal advice often stresses that not every refusal can be challenged on the same basis. The type of appeal you file determines what the judge can consider, what evidence is admissible, and how strong your chances of success may be. This article compares the two main routes, appealing on the basis of new evidence versus arguing a legal error, and explains when each is most appropriate.

Adamir Immigration Solicitors London is also on YouTube.

Appealing with New Evidence: When the Facts Have Changed

In many immigration cases, the refusal decision is based on the evidence that was submitted with the original application. If that evidence was weak, incomplete, or simply not convincing enough, the Home Office may have refused on factual grounds. For example, an application for refugee status might be refused because the applicant could not provide sufficient proof of persecution. In such a scenario, the appeal can be an opportunity to present new evidence that was not available at the time of the initial decision.

New evidence can take many forms. It might include updated medical reports, country guidance documents, witness statements, or documents confirming a change in personal circumstances. The key requirement is that the evidence could not have been reasonably obtained before the original application was decided. The tribunal will expect you to explain why the evidence is fresh and why it matters to your case.

View the location on Google Maps

One common situation where new evidence is crucial is in asylum appeals. A screening interview and substantive interview are conducted as part of the asylum process. If the Home

Office refused based on perceived inconsistencies in those interviews, fresh evidence that clarifies or corrects those points can be powerful. Similarly, if the situation in your home country has deteriorated since the refusal, new country reports or news articles can demonstrate that you now face a real risk of harm.

When appealing with new evidence, the tribunal hearing becomes a re-evaluation of the entire claim. The judge will consider both the original evidence and the new material together. This is different from a legal error appeal, where the focus is narrower. If your case turns on facts that have changed or were not properly explored, presenting new evidence is usually the right path.

Legal Error Appeals: Arguing the Decision Was Wrong in Law

A legal error appeal does not rely on new facts. Instead, it argues that the Home Office decision-maker made a mistake in how they applied the law or assessed the evidence that was already before them. This could be a misinterpretation of a rule, a failure to consider a relevant factor, or an irrational conclusion based on the available material.



For instance, if the Home Office refused a human protection claim because they applied the wrong standard of proof, that is a legal error. Similarly, if they ignored a relevant piece of country guidance or failed to give adequate reasons for dismissing a piece of evidence, the

decision may be legally flawed. In such cases, the appeal focuses on the decision itself, not on what new documents you can produce.

Legal error appeals tend to be more technical. They require a clear understanding of immigration law and tribunal procedures. The statement of grounds must identify the specific error and explain why it matters. If the tribunal agrees that a legal error occurred, it can either overturn the decision or send the case back to the Home Office for reconsideration. This route is often faster than assembling new evidence, but it requires a precise legal argument.

It is worth noting that some appeals combine both elements. For example, a legal error may have prevented the Home Office from properly considering evidence that was already submitted. In that situation, you might argue the error and also present new evidence to fill the gap. However, the primary ground of appeal should be clear from the start.

Choosing the Right Ground: Practical Considerations

Deciding whether to focus on new evidence or a legal error depends on the specifics of your refusal letter and your personal circumstances. Here are a few factors to weigh:

- **Nature of the refusal:** If the refusal letter states that your evidence was insufficient or that certain facts were not proved, new evidence may be your best option. If the letter misapplies a rule or ignores a relevant factor, a legal error argument is likely stronger.
- **Availability of new material:** Do you have access to documents or witness statements that genuinely change the picture? If not, relying on new evidence may be futile. Conversely, if you have strong new material, do not let it go unused.
- **Time constraints:** The appeal deadline is usually strict. If you are close to the deadline and cannot gather new evidence quickly, a legal error argument might be more feasible. You can always add new evidence later with permission from the tribunal, but the initial grounds must be filed on time.
- **Strength of the original case:** If the original application was strong on the law but weak on the facts, new evidence can fill the gap. If the original application was legally flawed, arguing the error is more direct.

Supporting Evidence and Tribunal Procedure

Whichever ground you choose, supporting evidence plays a central role. In a new evidence appeal, the evidence is the main event. You will need to explain why it was not available earlier and how it addresses the reasons for refusal. In a legal error appeal, the evidence is the original decision and the documents that were before the Home Office. You may also include legal authorities, such as tribunal decisions or court judgments, to support your argument.

The tribunal hearing itself will follow a structured process. The judge will hear from both sides, review the documents, and ask questions. If you are presenting new evidence, you must ensure it is served on the Home Office and the tribunal in advance. Failure to do so can result in the evidence being excluded. For legal error appeals, the focus will be on the decision letter and whether it stands up to legal scrutiny.

Many applicants find it helpful to seek professional guidance when preparing their appeal. Immigration tribunal advice can clarify which ground is most likely to succeed and how to present your case effectively. A solicitor can review the refusal letter, assess the strength of your evidence, and draft the statement of grounds. For those based in London, Adamir Immigration Solicitors London provides UK immigration, nationality, human rights, appeal, settlement, citizenship, family law and related legal services. They specialise in immigration, nationality and human rights matters and assist individuals seeking UK residence, visas, citizenship and other immigration outcomes. Their services include family visas, work visas, study visas, business visas and visitor visas, and they handle asylum applications, immigration appeals, indefinite leave to remain and British citizenship applications.

Common Mistakes to Avoid

One frequent error is trying to use an appeal as a second application. The tribunal is not a place to start over. It reviews the decision that was made, based on the law and the evidence available at the time (plus any new evidence that is properly introduced). Filing a generic statement of grounds that does not identify a specific error or explain the relevance of new evidence will likely fail.



Another mistake is missing the appeal deadline. The time limit is usually 14 days if you are in the UK (or 28 days if you are outside the UK) from the date the decision was sent. Late appeals are rarely accepted unless there are exceptional circumstances. Acting quickly and consulting a professional early can prevent this problem.

Finally, do not assume that new evidence automatically wins the case. The tribunal will assess its credibility and relevance. If the new evidence contradicts what you said earlier without a good explanation, it may harm your credibility rather than help. Consistency and honesty matter throughout the process.

Final Thoughts on Your Next Step

Whether you choose to appeal on the basis of new evidence or a legal error, the key is to be clear about your grounds and to prepare thoroughly. Read the refusal letter carefully, identify the weakest points in the Home Office's reasoning, and decide what kind of challenge is most likely to succeed. If you need more clarity, speaking with a qualified immigration solicitor can give you a realistic assessment of your options.

Adamir Immigration Solicitors London can be contacted at Unit-1, 5 Norman Rd, London N15 4ND, UK, by phone on +44 20 3302 0074.